

Interview Summary

Application N .

09/160,583

Applicant(s)

KAMO, TAKAKO

Examiner

Jonathan S. Crepeau

Art Unit

1745

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Jonathan S. Crepeau.

(3)_____.

(2) Frederick Vastine.

(4)_____.

Date of Interview: 02 April 2001 .

Type: a)☒ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference

c)☐ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2)☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)☐ Yes e)☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____ .

Claim(s) discussed: 2-5, 19 and 21 .

Identification of prior art discussed: Kawakami et al. .

Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached. g)☒ was not reached. h)☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiner and Attorney discussed the Kawakami reference; Examiner indicated that a declaration may be persuasive in overcoming the rejections over that reference .

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i)☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview(if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Not : You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required